

Neapolis University

HEPHAESTUS Repository

<http://hephaestus.nup.ac.cy>

---

School of Law and Social Sciences

Books

---

2009

# Reverse Discrimination in EC Law

Tryfonidou, Alina

Kluwer Law International

---

<http://hdl.handle.net/11728/12062>

*Downloaded from HEPHAESTUS Repository, Neapolis University institutional repository*

## **Reverse Discrimination in EC Law**

## **EUROPEAN MONOGRAPHS**

*Editor-in-chief Professor David O'Keeffe*

In this series *European Monographs* this book *Reverse Discrimination in EC Law* is the sixty-fourth title. *The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.*

KLUWER LAW INTERNATIONAL

**Reverse Discrimination in EC Law**

**Alina Tryfonidou**



**Wolters Kluwer**

Law & Business

AUSTIN BOSTON CHICAGO NEW YORK THE NETHERLANDS

*Published by:*  
Kluwer Law International  
PO Box 316  
2400 AH Alphen aan den Rijn  
The Netherlands  
Website: [www.kluwerlaw.com](http://www.kluwerlaw.com)

*Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America by:*  
Aspen Publishers, Inc.  
7201 McKinney Circle  
Frederick, MD 21704  
United States of America  
Email: [customer.care@aspenspubl.com](mailto:customer.care@aspenspubl.com)

*Sold and distributed in all other countries by:*  
Turpin Distribution Services Ltd.  
Stratton Business Park  
Pegasus Drive, Biggleswade  
Bedfordshire SG18 8TQ  
United Kingdom  
Email: [kluwerlaw@turpin-distribution.com](mailto:kluwerlaw@turpin-distribution.com)

*Printed on acid-free paper.*

ISBN 978-90-411-2751-8

© 2009 Kluwer Law International BV, The Netherlands

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the publisher.

Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to: Permissions Department, Wolters Kluwer Legal, 76 Ninth Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10011-5201, USA.  
Email: [permissions@kluwerlaw.com](mailto:permissions@kluwerlaw.com)

Printed in Great Britain.

For my Family



# Table of Contents

<b>Dedication</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Acknowledgements</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>Chapter 1</b>	
<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
I Introduction	1
II Reverse Discrimination and the Purely Internal Rule	3
A The Origins of the Purely Internal Rule	3
B The Purely Internal Rule	7
C The Linking Factor Test	10
D Reverse Discrimination	13
E Is Reverse Discrimination Prohibited under EC Law?	18
III The Main Aim and Question of the Book	21
IV Structure of the Book	22
V Methodology	24
<b>Part I</b>	
<b>The Court's Traditional and New Approaches to Purely Internal Situations</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Chapter 2</b>	
<b>The Traditional Approach of the Court</b>	<b>29</b>
I Introduction	29
II Purely Internal Rule, Reverse Discrimination and the Fundamental Freedoms: The Court's Traditional Approach	29



---

A	Free Movement of Goods	31
1	Reverse Discrimination Exercised by a Member State against its Own Goods	33
2	Reverse Discrimination Arising as a Result of the ECJ Confining the Application of its Judgments to Imported Goods	34
3	Reverse Discrimination Arising as a Result of the Implementation of EC Minimum Harmonization Legislation	35
4	Reverse Discrimination as a Difference in Treatment between Domestic Goods in a Purely Internal Situation and Re-imported Domestic Goods	37
5	Article 29 EC and the Application of the Linking Factor Test: The Traditional Approach	38
6	Articles 23-25 and the Application of the Linking Factor Test: The Traditional Approach	39
B	Free Movement of Workers	40
1	Article 39 EC and Purely Internal Situations	42
2	Article 39 EC and Reverse Discrimination	42
3	Establishing a Link with EC Law: Export Restrictions	44
4	Establishing a Link with EC law: Obstacles to the Free Movement of a Person Back to his or her Member State of Origin in Order to Work	45
C	Freedom of Establishment	46
1	Article 43 EC and Purely Internal Situations	47
2	Article 43 EC and Reverse Discrimination	48
3	Establishing a Link with EC Law: Export Restrictions	49
4	Establishing a Link with EC Law: Obstacles to the Free Movement of a Person Back to his or her Member State of Origin in Order to Work in a Self-employed Capacity	49
D	Free Movement of Services	50
1	Article 49 EC and Purely Internal Situations	52
2	Article 49 EC and Reverse Discrimination	52
3	Establishing a Link with EC Law: Export Restrictions	54
4	Establishing a Link with EC Law: Obstacles to the Freedom of a Person to Provide Services to Persons Established in his or her Member State of Origin	54
E	Free Movement of Capital	55
III	The Problems with the Traditional Approach of the Court	56

---

<b>Chapter 3</b>		
<b>The Court's New Approaches to a Link with EC Law: Responding to the Problems of the Traditional Approach</b>		<b>63</b>
I	Introduction	63
II	Some General Comments on the Court's Case-Law Where the Traditional Approach Towards Finding a Link with EC Law was not Followed	64
III	The Court's New Approach Towards Finding a Link With EC Law in some of Its Case-Law in the Area of the Free Movement of Goods and Services	67
	A The Approach Followed by the Court in Finding a Link with EC Law in Competition Law Cases	67
	B The New Approach Followed by the Court when Delimiting the Scope of the Customs Duties and Free Movement of Goods Provisions	71
	1 The Application of the New Approach of the Court when Delimiting the Scope of the Customs Duties Provisions	72
	2 The Application of the New Approach of the Court when Delimiting the Scope of Article 28 EC	76
	3 The Application of the New Approach of the Court when Delimiting the Scope of Article 29 EC	84
	C The New Approach Followed by the Court when Delimiting the Scope of the Free Movement of Services Provisions	85
	D Some General Comments on the Court's New Approach to the Application of the Linking Factor Test	88
	E Conclusion	94
IV	Free Movement of (Economically Active) Persons: The Court Tweaks its Traditional Approach to Prevent the Emergence of Reverse Discrimination	95
	A Case-law in the Area of Family Reunification Rights	96
	B Case-law in the Area of Educational Qualifications	106
	C Case-law in the Area of Freedom of Establishment and the Free Movement of Workers	108
	D Problems caused by the Approach Followed by the Court in the above Case-law	114
	1 Inordinate Extension of the Scope of EC Law	114
	2 Instead of Removing the Harsh Consequences of the Strict Application of the Purely Internal Rule, the Injustice of the Situation is Enhanced	116
	3 Abuse of EC Law	117
	E Conclusion	118

V	The New Approach Followed by the Court When Delimiting the Scope of its Jurisdiction in Preliminary Rulings Proceedings	119
A	The New Approach Followed by the Court when Delimiting the Scope of its Jurisdiction in Instances where National Law Prohibits the Emergence of Reverse Discrimination	121
B	The new Approach Followed by the Court when Delimiting the Scope of its Jurisdiction in Instances Involving ' <i>renvoi</i> '	123
VI	Conclusion	126
<b>Part II</b>		
<b>Reverse Discrimination as an Incongruity in the Contemporary EC</b>		<b>127</b>
<b>Chapter 4</b>		
<b>Reverse Discrimination and the Free Movement of Persons: An Incongruity in a Citizens' Europe</b>		<b>129</b>
I	Introduction	129
II	Definition of the Terms to be used Throughout the Chapter	131
III	Market Citizenship and Reverse Discrimination	131
A	The Market Citizenship Case-law	132
1	Rights under Article 7(2) of Regulation 1612/68	132
2	Students	134
3	Service Recipients and Consumers	135
B	A General Right of Residence for Economically Inactive (but Economically Self-sufficient) Persons	135
C	Market Citizenship and Reverse Discrimination	137
IV	Reverse Discrimination and the New Status of Union Citizenship: The First Reactions	139
V	Broadening the Scope of Application of Community Law: The Court's New Aim of Developing a Meaningful Notion of Union Citizenship	142
A	The Court's Case-law where Rights have been Granted to Union Citizens who have not Contributed, even Indirectly, to the Process of Establishing an Internal Market	144
VI	Is Reverse Discrimination, Now, a Form of a Difference in Treatment Prohibited by EC Law?	154
A	Reverse Discrimination as a Difference in Treatment based on Whether a Person has Contributed to the Establishment of the Internal Market	154

B	Are Persons who can Show a Contribution to the Internal Market and Persons who are Unable to Show such a Contribution, similarly Situated for the Purposes of Community Law?	155
C	Is Reverse Discrimination a Justified difference in Treatment between Persons Similarly Situated?	161
D	Is Reverse Discrimination a form of Discrimination Prohibited by EC Law?	162
1	The Development of the Scope of the Community Principles of Equality and Non-discrimination on the Grounds of Nationality	162
2	Is Reverse Discrimination a Violation of the Community Principle of Equality?	166
<b>Chapter 5</b>		
<b>Reverse Discrimination and EC Trade: An Incongruity in a Genuine Internal Market</b>		
		<b>173</b>
I	Introduction	173
II	Reverse Discrimination as an Indispensable Feature in the Process of Establishing an Internal Market	175
III	The Scope of Application of the Internal Market Provisions of the Treaty	180
IV	From the Construction of the Common Market to the Functioning of the Internal Market: Reappraising the Priorities of Community Trade	180
V	The Use of Article 95 EC in the Process of Ensuring that the Internal Market is Functioning Properly	184
VI	The Use of Treaty Provisions for Prohibiting National Measures that Jeopardize the Proper Functioning of the Internal Market	194
VII	Reverse Discrimination and the Principle of Undistorted Competition in a Properly-Functioning Market	195
VIII	Preventing the Emergence of Reverse Discrimination in a Properly-Functioning Market	199
A	Delimiting the Scope of Application of EC Legislation in Accordance with the Aim of Preventing the Emergence of Reverse Discrimination	200
B	Avoiding the Emergence of Reverse Discrimination in the Area of Customs Duties	205
IX	‘Spontaneous Harmonization’: An Alternative Solution to the Problem of Reverse Discrimination	208
X	Conclusion	215

<b>Chapter 6</b>	
<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>217</b>
I	Conclusions 218
II	Which Instances of Reverse Discrimination must be Remedied? 220
III	Possible Solutions to the Reverse Discrimination Conundrum: The Way Forward 225
A	National Level 225
1	Spontaneous Harmonization 225
2	The (national) Constitutional Principle of Equality 227
B	EC Level 227
1	Positive Harmonization 227
2	Negative Harmonization 229
<b>List of References</b>	<b>233</b>
<b>Table of Cases and Secondary Legislation</b>	<b>249</b>
<b>Table of Treaty Articles</b>	<b>267</b>
<b>Index</b>	<b>269</b>

## Acknowledgements

This work arises out of a doctoral thesis written at King's College London. This book would not have been possible without the support of a number of people. I would first of all like to express my deep gratitude to Professor David O'Keeffe, the editor of the Kluwer European Monographs series, for his continued encouragement and support. I would also like to thank two of my colleagues at the University of Leicester, Professor Robin White and Professor Erika Szyszczak, the former for his advice during the early stages of preparing this book, the latter for reading a previous draft of the book and offering incisive and constructive comments. A great debt is also owed to Mr Karel van der Linde, publishing manager at Kluwer, for being so patient and efficient in dealing with all my queries. Finally, but not least, I must express my heartfelt gratitude to my family, to whom I dedicate this book.

Alina Tryfonidou  
Leicester, September 2008



## List of Abbreviations

All E R	All England Law Reports
AJCL	American Journal of Comparative Law
ARIEL	Austrian Review of International and European Law
CEE(s)	Charge(s) having equivalent effect to customs duties
CEPS	Centre for European Policy Studies
CJEL	Columbia Journal of European Law
CLJ	Cambridge Law Journal
CLP	Common Legal Problems
CMLR	Common Market Law Reports
CMLRev	Common Market Law Review
CYELS	Cambridge Yearbook of European Legal Studies
EBLRev	European Business Law Review
EC	European Community
ECJ	European Court of Justice
ECR	European Court Reports
ECSC	European Coal and Steel Community
EEC	European <i>Economic</i> Community
EIPA	European Institute of Public Administration
EIoP	European Integration Online Papers
EJML	European Journal of Migration and Law
ELJ	European Law Journal
ELRev	European Law Review
EPL	European Public Law
ERPL	European Review of Private Law
EU	European Union
Fordham Int'l LJ	Fordham International Law Journal
GLJ	German Law Journal



ICEL	Irish Centre for European Law
ICLQ	International and Comparative Law Quarterly
IJCLLIR	International Journal of Comparative Labour Law and Industrial Relations
IJEL	Irish Journal of European Law
ILJ	Industrial Law Journal
IR	Irish Reports
JCMS	Journal of Common Market Studies
JEPP	Journal of European Public Policy
JSSL	Journal of Social Security Law
JSWFL	Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law
KCLJ	King's College Law Journal
LIEI	Legal Issues of European Integration/Legal Issues of Economic Integration
LS	Legal Studies
MEP	Member of the European Parliament
MEQR(s)	Measure(s) having equivalent effect to quantitative restrictions
MJ	Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law
MLR	Modern Law Review
OJ	Official Journal of the European Communities
OJLS	Oxford Journal of Legal Studies
OUP	Oxford University Press
Riv dir Internaz	Rivista di Diritto Internazionale
SEA	Single European Act
TEU	Treaty on European Union ('Maastricht Treaty')
TFEU	Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union
YEL	Yearbook of European Law

## Chapter 1

# Introduction

### I INTRODUCTION

This work is a study of the problem of reverse discrimination in EC law. In particular, the question that this book aims to answer is whether reverse discrimination emerging in the context of the Community's internal market policy, is still a permissible form of differential treatment in the Community.

The term 'discrimination' always has a negative connotation. Discrimination is not, merely, differential treatment. It is differential treatment of similar situations that is not justified. It is the use of the term in the context of anti-discrimination law and, in particular, that of sex and racial equality, that is mostly known amongst laymen. In the twentieth century, as a result of the action of various political and human rights movements, it became generally acknowledged that it is inherently bad to differentiate between groups of persons, if the *only* ground on which the differential treatment is based is an immutable characteristic such as sex, colour or race. It is considered to be blatantly wrong to treat a group of persons more harshly than others, just because they possess a characteristic which cannot be changed and for which they cannot be held responsible. It is only if the differentiation is grounded on some other (acceptable) criterion and merely happens to disadvantage a particular group of persons who share a certain characteristic, that is considered to be justified and thus can escape the characterization of 'discrimination'.

Certain characteristics are the 'usual suspects' for turning instances of differential treatment into discrimination; in other words, persons who carry those characteristics usually form a group which is 'expected' to be discriminated against, even though this is totally wrong. In the context of EC anti-discrimination law, apart from sex which has, since the genesis of this Community policy, been