



DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING
SCHOOL OF ARCHITECTURE, ENGINEERING, LAND
AND ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES



**Neapolis
University
Pafos**

Bachelor's Degree of Science in Civil Engineering
Bachelor Thesis

Experimental Testing of Retrofitted Corroded
Reinforced Concrete Elements

Submitted by Christos Petridis
Supervised by Dr. Ioannou Anthos

Paphos
August 2025



Bachelor's Degree of Science in Civil Engineering
Bachelor Thesis

Experimental Testing of Retrofitted Corroded Reinforced Concrete Elements

Submitted by
Christos Petridis
Supervised by
Dr. Ioannou Anthos

Paphos
August 2025

Copyright ©

Christos Petridis, August 2025

All rights reserved.

The approval of this thesis by Neapolis University in Cyprus does not necessarily imply acceptance of the author's views on behalf of the University.

Validity page

Student's Name: Christos Petridis

Bachelor Thesis Title Experimental Testing of Retrofitted, Corroded RC Small-Scale Beams

The present Bachelor Thesis was submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering at Neapolis University Pafos and was approved on 2nd of September 2025 by the members of the Examination Committee.

Examination Committee:

Supervisor: _____
[Lect. Anthos Ioannou]

Committee Member: _____
[Assoc. Prof. John Bellos]

Committee Member: _____
[Lect. Natia Anastasi]

Declaration

The present Bachelor Thesis was submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Degree of Bachelor of Science in Civil Engineering at Neapolis University Pafos. It is a product of original work of my own, unless otherwise mentioned through references, notes, or any other statements.

C. Petridis
Christos Petridis

Preface

Completing this thesis has been both challenging and deeply rewarding.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Anthos Ioannou, whose expertise, guidance, and unwavering support have been invaluable throughout this journey.

My thanks also go to Neapolis University Pafos for providing the resources necessary for the completion of this thesis, as well as to Athinodorou Beton Ltd, Fanos N. Epifaniou D.E. LTD, and Domochemica Company LTD, SIKA & MAPEI for their generous provision of essential materials.

I would further like to acknowledge:

Mr. Panikkos Chrysostomou, for providing the shuttering materials for the casting of all specimens.

Mr. Simos Eracleous, for sharing his professional guidance regarding the FRP installation.

Finally, I dedicate this thesis to my family, my wife and daughter, whose love, encouragement, and unwavering support have sustained me throughout this important chapter of my professional life.

Christos Petridis

August 2025

Abstract

This thesis investigates the comparative mechanical behavior of conventional concrete and retrofitted reinforced concrete elements strengthened with repair mortars of class R4 and carbon fiber-reinforced polymer (FRP) systems. Corrosion remains a critical challenge to the durability and service life of reinforced concrete structures, making innovative strengthening and rehabilitation methods increasingly essential.

The experimental methodology was developed following an extensive literature review on corrosion mechanisms, structural degradation, and state-of-the-art repair techniques employing FRPs and mortar-based systems. The study involved accelerated corrosion of prismatic concrete specimens, compressive and splitting tensile testing of cylindrical specimens, and flexural testing of repaired prisms across five categories: non-corroded plain concrete, untreated reinforced concrete, corroded specimens and corroded specimens retrofitted using the above-mentioned materials.

The combined use of high-performance mortars and carbon FRPs significantly enhanced the flexural capacity and ductility of corroded specimens. Results confirmed the efficiency of these composite systems in restoring load-bearing capacity and improving structural response under bending. Overall, the findings validate the applied retrofitting strategies as practical and effective solutions for the repair and retrofitting of corroded reinforced concrete elements. Their contribution to mechanical performance and durability highlights their wide applicability in infrastructure rehabilitation. Notably, FRP retrofitting demonstrated exceptional potential, restoring structural integrity and increasing flexural strength by up to three times compared to plain concrete.

Keywords: *Conventional Concrete; Compressive Strength; Tensile Strength; Accelerated Corrosion; Impressed Current Technique; Repair of Corroded Reinforced Concrete Elements; Retrofitting with FRP.*

Περίληψη

Η παρούσα διπλωματική εργασία διερευνά τη συγκριτική μηχανική συμπεριφορά του συμβατικού σκυροδέματος και των ενισχυμένων στοιχείων από οπλισμένο σκυρόδεμα που έχουν επισκευαστεί με επισκευαστικά κονιάματα κατηγορίας R4 και συστήματα πολυμερών ενισχυμένων με ίνες άνθρακα (FRP). Η διάβρωση παραμένει μια κρίσιμη πρόκληση για την ανθεκτικότητα και τη διάρκεια ζωής των κατασκευών από οπλισμένο σκυρόδεμα, καθιστώντας τις καινοτόμες μεθόδους ενίσχυσης και αποκατάστασης ολοένα και πιο απαραίτητες.

Η πειραματική μεθοδολογία αναπτύχθηκε μετά από εκτενή βιβλιογραφική ανασκόπηση σχετικά με τους μηχανισμούς διάβρωσης, την υποβάθμιση των κατασκευών και τις πλέον σύγχρονες τεχνικές επισκευής με χρήση FRP και συστημάτων με επισκευαστικά κονιάματα. Η μελέτη περιλάμβανε επιταχυνόμενη διάβρωση πρισματικών δοκιμών σκυροδέματος, δοκιμές θλίψης και εφελκυσμού σε κυλινδρικά δοκίμια, καθώς και δοκιμές κάμψης σε επισκευασμένα πρίσματα σε πέντε κατηγορίες: μη διαβρωμένο απλό σκυρόδεμα, ανεπεξέργαστο οπλισμένο σκυρόδεμα, διαβρωμένα δοκίμια και διαβρωμένα δοκίμια που επισκευάστηκαν με τα προαναφερθέντα υλικά.

Ο συνδυασμός υψηλών επιδόσεων επισκευαστικών κονιαμάτων και FRP από ίνες άνθρακα βελτίωσε σημαντικά την καμπτική αντοχή και την ολκιμότητα των διαβρωμένων δοκιμών. Τα αποτελέσματα επιβεβαίωσαν την αποτελεσματικότητα αυτών των σύνθετων συστημάτων στην αποκατάσταση της φέρουσας ικανότητας και στη βελτίωση της δομικής απόκρισης υπό κάμψη. Συνολικά, τα ευρήματα επικυρώνουν τις εφαρμοσμένες στρατηγικές ενίσχυσης ως πρακτικές και αποτελεσματικές λύσεις για την επισκευή και ενίσχυση διαβρωμένων στοιχείων από οπλισμένο σκυρόδεμα. Η συμβολή τους στη μηχανική απόδοση και την ανθεκτικότητα αναδεικνύει την ευρεία εφαρμογή τους στην αποκατάσταση υποδομών. Ιδιαίτερα, η ενίσχυση με FRP παρουσίασε εξαιρετικές δυνατότητες, αποκαθιστώντας την δομική ακεραιότητα και αυξάνοντας την καμπτική αντοχή έως και τρεις φορές σε σχέση με το απλό σκυρόδεμα.

Λέξεις-κλειδιά: Συμβατικό Σκυρόδεμα· Θλιπτική Αντοχή· Εφελκυστική Αντοχή· Επιταχυνόμενη Διάβρωση· Τεχνική Εφαρμοζόμενου Ρεύματος· Επισκευή Διαβρωμένων Στοιχείων Οπλισμένου Σκυροδέματος· Ενίσχυση με FRP.

Contents

Περίληψη.....	8
List of Figures.....	11
List of Tables	13
List of Flowcharts.....	13
1. Introduction.....	14
2. Literature Review	16
2.1 Conventional Concrete: Historical Development and Modern Advances.....	16
2.2 Corrosion Mechanisms and Disclosure.....	16
2.3 Modeling of Corrosion and Structural Damage.....	17
2.4 Structural Performance and Flexural Behavior.....	17
2.5 Repair and Retrofitting Techniques	17
2.5.1 Repair and Retrofitting Techniques.....	18
2.5.2 Emerging Materials and Technologies	18
2.6 Summary and Research Gaps	19
2.7 Composition, advantages and limitations.....	20
2.8 Compressive behavior of concrete.....	21
2.9 Tensile behavior of concrete	22
2.10 Repaired mortars class R4	23
2.10.1 Mechanical Behavior and Comparison with Conventional Concrete:	24
2.11 Fiber-Reinforced Polymers (FRPs): Definition and Composition	25
2.11.1 FRP Applications and Advantages	25
2.12 Evaluation of the compressive and tensile strength of concrete	26
2.12.1 Testing procedures and standards	26
2.12.2 Three-point & Four-point bending tests	30
2.13 Tensile strength of a test specimen tests	31
2.14 Corrosion in structures	32
2.14.1 Corrosion mechanism: Anodic and Cathodic Reactions.....	33
2.14.2 Chloride induced corrosion	34
2.15 Experimental methods of accelerated corrosion	36
2.15.1 Artificial climate environment (ACE) method.....	36

2.15.2 Impressed Current Method	37
2.16 Protection of steel reinforcement and concrete repairing.....	39
2.17 Use of Fiber-Reinforced Polymers (FRPs) for Retrofitting Reinforced Concrete Elements	40
3 Experimental program	41
3.1 Strength Characteristics of conventional concrete and repaired and FRP-strengthened elements	41
3.2 Materials Composition and Mix Design.....	41
3.2.1 Concrete	41
3.2.2 Repairing used mortar (MAPEI R4).....	42
3.2.3 FRP (SIKA WRAP).....	42
3.3 Preparation of Specimens	43
3.3.1 Plain concrete specimens.....	43
3.4 Accelerated corrosion procedure	44
3.5 Experimental Methodology.....	48
3.5.1 General Outline	48
3.5.2 Flowchart of progress	48
3.5.3 Carbonation Depth and Corrosion Assessment.....	49
3.5.4 Corrosion and Repair Process – Experimental Program.....	49
3.5.5 Retrofitted specimens of all three corroded groups	51
3.5.6 Testing procedures and experimental results.....	59
3.5.7 The experimental procedures were recorded and analyzed.....	61
3.5.8 Uniaxial compression tests	62
3.5.9 Tensile splitting tests.....	65
3.5.10 Four-point bending tests	67
3.6 Experimental Results	70
3.6.1 Non-Reinforced Specimens.....	71
3.6.2 Reinforced non-corroded concrete specimens	74
3.6.3 Reinforced & corroded prismatic specimens	77
3.6.4 Retrofitted Specimens using Fiber Reinforced Polymers (FRP)	81
Conclusions	88
Future Work.....	89
References	90

Standards and Codes	90
Textbooks	90
Manufacturer Technical Data Sheets	90
Journal Articles	90
APPENDIX	92

List of Figures

Figure 1 Three failure modes of materials; a) Brittle; b) Quasi-brittle; c) Ductile (Li 2011).	20
Figure 2 Toughness of concrete and steel (Li 2011).	21
Figure 3 Nominal sizes of cube specimens redesigned based on EN 12390-1.	27
Figure 4 Nominal sizes of cylindrical specimens redesigned based on EN 12390-1.	27
Figure 5 Nominal sizes of prismatic specimens redesigned based on EN 12390-1.	27
Figure 6 Satisfactory failures and the explosive rate of cubic specimens according to EN12390-3	28
Figure 7 Several unsatisfactory failures of the cubic specimens (Structural Guide, 2025)	29
Figure 8 Satisfactory failure of cylindrical specimens (Structural Guide, 2025)	29
Figure 9 Cases of Unsatisfactory failures of cylindrical specimens (Structural Guide, 2025).....	29
Figure 10 Three-point bending test setup (EN 12390-5)	30
Figure 11 Four-point bending test setup (EN 12390-5).....	31
Figure 12 Tensile splitting test of cylindrical specimens (EN 12390-6).....	31
Figure 13 Example building with absent maintenance on Ekavis Street, Pafos.....	33
Figure 14 Schematic drawing of electrochemical reactions in corrosion (Feng. et. al., 2021)	34
Figure 15 Chloride ingress & binding stage of the mechanism	35
Figure 16 Studying the corrosion process in reinforced concrete over time (Bertolini et al., 2013).	35
Figure 17 Accelerated corrosion setup, current thesis, introduced based on (Pantazopoulou et al., 2019).	39
Figure 18 General view of the corrosion bath current thesis setup).	39
Figure 19 Grading curve of fine aggregates 0-4 mm.	41
Figure 20 Grading curve of fine aggregates 4-10mm.	41
Figure 21 Grading curve of fine aggregates 8-20mm.	42
Figure 22 Ready for shuttering cylindrical and prismatic specimens	43
Figure 23 Shuttering works for all specimens.....	43
Figure 24 Specimens installation in the water tank for 28 days	43
Figure 25 Cross section of the reinforced specimens	44
Figure 26 Cured specimens (a) cylindrical & (b) prismatic specimens prior experimental tests	44
Figure 27 Cables connecting the reinforcement (anode) and the stainless-steel mesh (cathode) to the power supply.....	45
Figure 28 Chloride Induced Accelerated Corrosion setup using Impressed Current (fully immersed). (N. Tzavellos, 2023).....	45
Figure 29 Condition of corroded specimens of all three groups, after the 30-day period of accelerated corrosion.	46
Figure 30 Reinforced and Corroded Specimens.....	47
Figure 31 a) Carbonisation agent & b) Carbonisation range on specimen	49
Figure 32 Example of corroded and damaged Rod Specimen	49
Figure 33 Corroded Specimen of Series A, B & C a) Corroded b) Depth removal affected area	50
Figure 34 Experimental procedure illustrating the repair and retrofitting process following corrosion exposure, including removal of corroded concrete cover (a), sandblasting of reinforcement (b), (c) & (d), application of corrosion protection and repair mortar (e), in accordance with EN 1504-3:2005, EN 1504-7:2006, and EN 1504-9:2008.	51
Figure 35 Mass control process for the corroded reinforced concrete specimens – Series A (RCC–A).	52
Figure 36 Mass control process for the corroded reinforced concrete specimens – Series B (RCC–B).....	53
Figure 37 Step-by-step procedure showing the preparation and application of the polymer-modified repair mortar following corrosion removal: (a) mixing of the repair mortar; (b) preparation of shuttering after cleaning; (c) application of mortar into cavities; (d) compaction and levelling of the repair layer; (e) surface finishing; (f) completed repaired	

specimens prior to curing. All works performed in accordance with EN 1504-3:2005. Mixing stage (MAPEI repair mortar + mechanical mixer): clearly shows the preparation of the repair mortar.	54
Figure 38 Preparation and application process of the carbon fibre-reinforced polymer (FRP) system: (a) repaired specimens prepared for surface treatment; (b) edge rounding to approximately 2% to prevent stress concentrations; (c) mixing of epoxy resin components; (d) cutting of unidirectional carbon fibre sheets to the required dimensions; (e) placement and bonding of FRP sheets using epoxy resin. Works performed in accordance with EN 1504-4:2004 and EN 1998-3:2005.	55
Figure 39. Mass Control for Plain Concrete Specimens	56
A similar mass control process was followed for the reinforced but non-corroded specimens (RCNC series) to verify the uniformity of the casting and curing stages and to distinguish mass differences due to the embedded reinforcement. Each specimen was weighed after curing and again prior to mechanical testing, following the same procedure adopted for the CP series. This allowed the identification of any accidental mass variation caused by handling or surface preparation and ensured that the RCNC group could be reliably used as the mechanical reference for the corroded and repaired beams. The results of this control process are presented in Figure 40 below.	57
Figure 41 Mass Control for Reinforced & Non-Corroded Specimens	57
Figure 42 Mass Control Reinforced, Corroded, Repaired & FRP-Strengthened Specimens – FRP Series	58
Figure 43. All specimens prepared and labelled for four-point bending testing in accordance with EN 12390-5:2019, showing control, repaired, and FRP-strengthened series prior to loading.	59
Figure 44 a) Uniaxial compression test, b) four-point bending test, c) tensile splitting test, (Ioannou, 2022).	60
Figure 45 ELE Compression Testing Machine – NUP Engineering Lab.	60
Figure 46 Compression test setup using compression machines in NUP Engineering lab. (a) Set-up (b) Specimen zoom	62
Figure 47 Experimental results - Compressive strength of conventional concrete specimens (28 days).	64
Figure 48 Experimental results vs EN Standards- Compressive strength of conventional concrete specimens (28 days).	64
Figure 49 Tensile splitting test setup in NUP Engineering lab.	65
Figure 50 Experimental results – Tensile splitting Strength of conventional concrete specimens (28 days)	67
Figure 51 Four-point bending test setup - Distances between support & loading points according to EN 12390-6.	68
Figure 52 Four-point bending, current thesis setup.	68
Figure 53 Four Point Bending Experimental Set-up – Current Thesis	69
Figure 54 Flexural tensile capacity of the specimens, all experiments	71
Figure 55 Non-reinforced prismatic specimen CP1 during and after four-point bending test: (a) specimen under loading showing initial crack formation; (b) final failure mode indicating complete flexural fracture along the mid-span region.	71
Figure 56 Non-reinforced prismatic specimen CP2 during and after four-point bending test: (a) specimen alignment and setup prior to loading; (b) mid-span deflection under increasing load; (c) crack propagation along the tension zone; and (d) final failure pattern showing complete flexural cracking.	72
Figure 57 Non-reinforced prismatic specimen CP4 during and after four-point bending test: (a) test setup and LVDT placement prior to loading; (b) onset of mid-span cracking; (c) flexural deformation under increasing load; and (d) final fracture pattern showing complete separation along the tension zone.	73
Figure 58 Load -Deflection curves of plain concrete prismatic specimens.	74
Figure 59 Reinforced prismatic specimen RCNC-S1 during and after four-point bending test: (a) mid-span cracking at peak load; (b) final failure pattern showing flexural cracking and concrete crushing near the constant moment region. .	75
Figure 60 Reinforced prismatic specimen RCNC-S3 during and after four-point bending test: (a) specimen setup and mid-span loading arrangement; (b) development of flexural cracking and initial signs of failure along the constant moment region.	75
Figure 61 Reinforced prismatic specimen RCNC-S4 during and after four-point bending test: (a) specimen setup before loading; (b) development of flexural cracks under increased load; (c) mid-span deflection at peak load; and (d) final failure pattern showing complete flexural cracking in the constant moment region.	76
Figure 62 Load -Deflection curves of reinforced concrete – non corroded prismatic specimens.	76
Figure 63 Reinforced and corroded prismatic specimen C1 during and after four-point bending test: (a) initial setup and alignment before loading; (b) failure mode showing extensive flexural cracking and localized concrete crushing near the tension zone.	78

Figure 64 Reinforced and corroded prismatic specimen C2 during and after four-point bending test: (a) side view showing crack initiation; (b) specimen at peak load; (c) post-failure condition with visible crack propagation; and (d) measurement of crack width along the tension face.	79
Figure 65 Reinforced and corroded prismatic specimen C3 after four-point bending test, showing extensive flexural cracking, concrete spalling, and complete failure in the constant moment region.	80
Figure 66 Reinforced and corroded prismatic specimen C4 during and after four-point bending test: (a) test setup using the ELE International testing machine at NUP Engineering Laboratory; (b) crack formation and propagation along the constant moment region during loading.....	80
Figure 67 Experimental results – FPB, reinforced concrete and corroded specimens.....	81
Figure 68 Reinforced & corroded, Repaired and FRP applied prismatic specimens – FRP-S1 (a) LVDT sensor positioning, along with front side view during testing and (b) back side view showing crack propagation.....	82
Figure 69 Reinforced and corroded prismatic specimens after repair and FRP application – Series FRP2. (a) Alignment and installation precision during test setup; (b) LVDT sensor positioning; (c) front side view during testing; and (d) back side view showing crack propagation.	83
Figure 70 Reinforced and corroded prismatic specimens after repair and FRP application – Series FRP4. (a) LVDT sensor positioning prior to testing; (b) back side view before testing; (c) front side view after testing; and (d) back side view after testing showing crack propagation and failure pattern.	84
Figure 71 Load vs Displacement Reinforced & corroded, Repaired and FRP.....	85
Figure 72 Load vs Displacement per point P/2	86
Figure 73 Observed detachment of the double-sided adhesive tape securing the LVDT sensor during testing of specimen RCC-C2, which may have affected the recorded displacement readings.	87
Figure 74 Load vs Displacement (total P)	87

List of Tables

Table 1 Concrete strength classes and deformation characteristics (EN 1992-1-1).	22
Table 2 EN1504-3 Class R4 Mortars Performance Criteria.....	24
Table 3 Specimen Tolerances according to EN 12390-1.....	27
Table 4 Explanation of corrosion process over time	35
Table 5 Corrosion risk – Chloride content relation.	35
Table 6 Comparison of Artificial Climate Environment (ACE) and Impressed Current Methods for Accelerated Corrosion Testing in RC.....	37
Table 7 Faraday's law	37
Table 8 IC and ACE methods comparison.....	38
Table 9 Concrete mix design 16/20.....	42
Table 10 Concrete specimen coding and characteristics.....	61
Table 11 Compressive strength results.	63
Table 12 Tensile splitting strength results	66
Table 13. Flexural strength results.	70

List of Flowcharts

Flowchart 1 Flowchart of the work process of the current Experimental Tests & Analysis.....	48
---	----

1. Introduction

Concrete remains the most widely used construction material globally, due to its versatility, durability, and relatively low cost. However, despite its extensive use, reinforced concrete (RC) structures are susceptible to deterioration over time, particularly when exposed to aggressive environmental conditions such as chloride ingress, carbonation, or freeze–thaw cycles (Neville, 2011; Mehta & Monteiro, 2014). The degradation of reinforcement caused by corrosion is one of the most significant durability challenges, as it compromises both the service life and the structural performance of RC members. With the above in mind, in Cyprus in particular, many structures especially near the coast are exposed to the chloride environment. This cause corrosion and generate an engineering challenge that directly motivated the scope and idea of the present research.

To address this issue, researchers and practitioners have investigated a wide range of repair and strengthening strategies. Traditional repair techniques often involve patching with cementitious mortars or coatings, which may provide temporary improvements but are not always sufficient to ensure long-term durability (EN 1504-3, 2005). More advanced solutions, such as the application of fiber-reinforced polymers (FRPs), have been increasingly adopted because they provide high tensile strength, corrosion resistance, and ease of installation (ACI 440.2R-17, 2017; Teng et al., 2002). Nonetheless, the long-term effectiveness of these methods depends on several factors, including bond quality, surface preparation, and exposure conditions. FRP systems were selected as a primary retrofitting technique in my study, applied on corroded RC specimens, as a continue experimental research of previous retrofitting techniques tested at Neapolis University of Pafos (NUP). This approach give us the opportunity to directly evaluate the FRP's system ability to restore strength and ductility under conditions representative to the Cypriot coastal environment.

In parallel, the development of innovative cementitious materials such as engineered cementitious composites (ECCs) or strain-hardening cementitious composites (SHCCs) has opened new avenues for structural rehabilitation. Unlike conventional concrete, ECC exhibits strain-hardening behavior and multiple micro-cracking under tensile loading, which enhances ductility and energy absorption capacity (Li, 1998; Li, 2003). These properties make ECC an attractive repair material, particularly when applied to corroded or damaged RC elements. Although ECC was initially considered within the scope of this research, a continuation of previous research from N. Tzavellos (NUP Thesis, 2023), the experimental program ultimately emphasis on FRP retrofitting. Nevertheless, the comparative insights from ECC literature provided a valuable reference framework, and gave us the chance to evaluate alternative repair materials. All in all, this research aims to provide an understanding in the rehabilitation strategies focus on the FRP systems, since the use of them, at the moment, is limited in Cyprus.

The importance of evaluating repair and strengthening techniques extends beyond durability concerns; it also involves structural performance under service and ultimate loads. International standards, such as EN 1992 (Eurocode 2) and EN 12390, provide testing and design frameworks that ensure reliability and comparability of results. However, many experimental studies have shown that the behavior of repaired or retrofitted elements may deviate from idealized models, particularly when corrosion damage is severe or when bond conditions are compromised (El-Maaddawy & Soudki, 2003; Pham & Al-Mahaidi, 2004). In this research, the experimental procedures were carried out in the Engineering Laboratory of Neapolis University Pafos (NUP) strictly in line with EN testing standards. A crucial adherence to ensure that the obtained results were not only reliable and reproducible but also directly comparable to international benchmarks, strengthening the credibility of the findings and their alignment with established design practices.

In this context, the current research investigates the mechanical behavior of plain concrete and reinforced concrete specimens subjected to accelerated corrosion and subsequent repair or strengthening. Both conventional and advanced techniques, including FRP retrofitting, were examined through a series of laboratory experiments conducted at Neapolis University Pafos (NUP). The study aims to provide comparative insights into the effectiveness of these approaches, focusing on compressive and tensile performance, flexural capacity, and failure mechanisms. What makes this work particularly distinctive is its integration of accelerated corrosion testing with subsequent FRP strengthening, enabling a direct evaluation of how deterioration and repair interact in realistic service conditions an approach not commonly combined in previous experimental programs.

The findings of this research are intended to contribute to the broader understanding of structural rehabilitation and to inform practical guidelines for improving the resilience of RC infrastructure. By situating experimental results within the framework of European standards and recent literature, the study seeks to highlight both the limitations of existing repair practices and the potential benefits of innovative materials and methods. Ultimately, the goal is to bridge the gap between laboratory research and real-world applications, offering practical solutions to extend the service life of reinforced concrete structures exposed to deterioration. Finally, the contribution of the current research, is particularly relevant to the Cypriot Construction Industry, taking into consideration the coastal environment, along with the use of reinforced concrete (RC) widely, makes the corrosion-related damage a challenge, underscoping the need of reliable repair strategies.