

1998-12

Self management leader behaviour: its relations to transformational, transactional, initiating structure and consideration leadership style dimensions, and to work related attitudes such as job satisfaction, confidence in management and commitment

Politis, John D.

ANZAM, Australian and New Zealand Academy of Management

<http://hdl.handle.net/11728/8771>

Downloaded from HEPHAESTUS Repository, Neapolis University institutional repository

**SELF-MANAGEMENT LEADER BEHAVIOUR: ITS RELATION TO
TRANSFORMATIONAL, TRANSACTIONAL, INITIATING STRUCTURE AND
CONSIDERATION LEADERSHIP STYLE DIMENSIONS, AND TO WORK RELATED
ATTITUDES SUCH AS JOB SATISFACTION, CONFIDENCE IN MANAGEMENT AND
COMMITMENT.**

John Politis and John Crawford - School of Management, University of Technology, Sydney

Numerous studies on leadership and on the relationship between leadership style and various individual and organisational outcomes have utilised either of two questionnaire instruments for the measurement of leader behaviour. These are Stodgill's (1963) Leadership Behaviour Description Questionnaire (LBDQ), and the Multi-factor Leadership Questionnaire (MLQ) of Bass (1985). The first is based on the two dimensions of leader behaviour, Initiating Structure and Consideration, while the MLQ focuses on the distinction between Transformation and Transactional leadership styles. In recent years there has been growing interest in the study of self-managing teams, and much of the literature has argued that such groups give rise to higher performance and higher levels of worker satisfaction and commitment. Attention has therefore been directed at the types of leader behaviours which are appropriate for the success of self-managing teams. Manz and Sims (1989, 1991) introduced the concept of "Super leadership", which is the set of leadership behaviours which encourages self-leadership by followers in order that they can successfully accomplish tasks that were traditionally done by their superiors. Self-leadership is described in terms of a broad view of self-influence that encompasses self-management strategies as well as strategies for managing the motivational values of the task, such as the feeling of competence, self-control, etc. (Manz, 1986, 1992). Manz and Sims (1987) developed the Self-Management Leadership Questionnaire (SMLQ) as a measure of such leader behaviours. The behavioural dimensions contained in the questionnaire reflect three broad established streams of literature, namely, 1) behavioural self-control (eg. Bandura, 1969) and self-management (Mahoney and Arnkoff, 1978); 2) intrinsic motivation (Deci, 1975; Hoffman, 1981), and 3) the use of mental imagery, self-talk and positive thinking (Neck and Manz, 1992; Kendall et al., 1990). The six dimensions tapped by the SMLQ are: Self-observation, Rehearsal, Self Goal-setting, Self Criticism, Self Reinforcement, and Self Expectation.

The study reported in this paper investigates the relationship between the newer leadership dimensions measured by the Manz and Sims's SMLQ questionnaire and the more established dimensions of Transformational and Transactional leadership style, Initiating Structure and Consideration, as measured by the LBDQ and MLQ. It also explores the relationship between the above leadership style factors and a number of work related attitudes, including job satisfaction, commitment and faith in management. Questionnaires containing items measuring the above leadership style dimensions and work related attitudes were distributed to members of self-managed teams in a large manufacturing organisation. A total of 318 useable questionnaires were returned. These were subjected to a series of correlational and regression analyses. The results showed that the scales measured by the SMLQ represented leadership style dimensions which are distinct from those measured by the older and more established LBDQ and MLQ instruments. Furthermore, the SMLQ measures were predictive of follower worker attitudes to an extent comparable with those for the older leadership style dimensions. It was also found that the SMLQ measures provided statistically significant additional predictive power, after having statistically controlled for predictive effects of the older leadership measures.